Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently amended) A validation protocol for determining whether an untrusted authentication chip contained within a consumable is valid, or not, ~~including~~ comprising the steps of:

generating an original random number;

applying, in a trusted authentication chip contained within a consuming device, an asymmetric encryption function to the random number using a first key from the trusted authentication chip to produce an encrypted random number;

passing the encrypted random number to the untrusted authentication chip;

decrypting, in the untrusted authentication chip, the encrypted random number with an asymmetric decryption function using a second secret key from the untrusted authentication chip to produce a decrypted random number;

comparing the decrypted random number with the original random number, without knowledge of the second secret key, and in the event of a match considering the consumable to be~~untrusted chip to be~~ valid and allowing the consumption of the consumable by the consuming device; and,

otherwise considering the consumable to be ~~untrusted chip to be~~ invalid and thereby restricting the consumption of the consumable by the consuming device.

2. (Original) A validation protocol according to claim 1, where the random number is not secret, but where the trusted authentication chip contains a random function to produce random numbers from a seed, and the function advances after every random number is produced so that the next random number will be produced from a new seed.

3. (Original) A validation protocol according to claim 1, where the first key is a public key.

4. (Original) A validation protocol according to claim 1, where the encryption is implemented in software.

5. (Original) A validation protocol according to claim 1, where the encryption is implemented in a second authentication chip.

6. (Original) A validation protocol according to claim 1, where the keys used for encryption and decryption are 2048 bits or larger.

7. (Currently amended) A validation system for determining whether an untrusted authentication chip is valid, or not, where the system comprises:

a consuming device containing a trusted authentication chip;

a random number generator to generate an original random number;

an asymmetric encryptor to encrypt the original random number using a first key in the trusted authentication chip;

a consumable containing the untrusted authentication chip which receives the encrypted random number, the untrusted authentication chip ~~including~~ comprising an asymmetric decryption function to decrypt the encrypted random number using a second secret key for the decryption function to produce a decrypted random number; and

comparison means to compare the decrypted random number with the original random number, without knowledge of the second secret key;

whereby, in the event of a match between the decrypted random number and the original random number, the untrusted chip is considered to be valid, thereby allowing the consumable to be consumed by the consuming device;

otherwise the untrusted chip is considered to be invalid, thereby restricting the consumable being consumed by the consuming device.

8. (Original) A validation system according to claim 7, where the random number generator, encryptor and comparison means are in an external system.

9. (Previously presented)A validation system according to claim 7, where the consuming device is a printer and the consumable device is an ink cartridge.

10. (Original) A validation system according to claim 7, where the random number generator and encryptor are in a second authentication chip, and the comparison means are in an external system which receives the random number and the encrypted version before

passing only the encrypted version to the untrusted chip; the system also receives back the decrypted version from the untrusted chip and performs the comparison.

11. (Previously presented)A validation system according to claim 10, where the system is in a device in which consumables are mounted.

12. (Original) A validation system according to claim 7, where the random number is not secret, but the random number generator includes a random function to produce random numbers from a seed, and the function advances after every random number is produced so that the next random number will be produced from a new seed.

13. (Original) A validation system according to claim 7, where the first key is a public key.

14. (Original) A validation system according to claim 7, where the encryption is implemented in software.

15. (Original) A validation system according to claim 7, where the encryption is implemented in a second authentication chip.

16. (Original) A validation system according to claim 7, where the keys used for encryption and decryption are 2048 bits or larger.

17. (New) A validation system according to claim 7, wherein the system comprises:

a processing system which is configured to:

transfer, to the random number generator, a request to generate the original random number;

receive, from the trusted authentication chip, the encrypted random number and the original random number;

transfer, to the untrusted chip, the encrypted random number;

receive from the untrusted chip, the unencrypted random number; and

compare the decrypted random number with the original random number, without knowledge of the second secret key.

18. (New) A validation system according to claim 7, wherein the untrusted chip comprises of an electronic noise generator to generate electronic noise to restrict detection of processing performed within the untrusted chip.

19. (New) A validation system according to claim 18, wherein the untrusted chip comprises of a light emitting component operably connected to the electronic noise generator to randomly emit light to restrict detection of processing performed within the untrusted chip.